6.3.5 - Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non- teaching staff

The institution has Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non-teaching staff separately.

Teaching staff:

Based on the self-appraisal, API score will be submitted to the UGC in a Prescribed format and Annual Self-Appraisal Report (ASAR) for the College Teachers (As per G.O. Ms. No. 14, Higher Education (UE) Department, Govt. of A.P. Dated; 13-02-2019) by the each and every teacher to IQAC at the end of the every academic year. These formats are reviewed by the IQAC and the scores will be allotted by the Principal based on the evidences provided by them, and then submit to the Commissioner of Collegiate Education.

Functioning Status or Performance Appraisal:

The Performance Appraisal of the teaching staff is made by the Annual Self-Appraisal Report (ASAR). The ASAR comprises of two categories. Teachers who joined the college during any of the five Academic years shall give a self-appraisal report for every year in which they joined the college.

CATEGORY I: TEACHING: It is to bring in practice of each and every Lecturer to participate in the Practical, Tutorials and other teaching related Activities as much as possible.

CATEGORY II: ACTIVITIES:-It is to involve each and every Lecturer in the activities of the college related to the student Activities or Research Activities.

The IQAC recommends the teaching staff to prepare ASAR as mentioned above and submit it at the end of academic year. IQAC scrutinizes and awards grades of each lecturer and submit to the Principal for awarding grades based on the performance of the Lecturer to both the categories.

Overall Grading Criteria:

Good: Good at Teaching (Category-I) and Good or Satisfactory in Activity (Category-II)

Satisfactory: Satisfactory in Teaching (Category-I) and Good or Satisfactory in Activity (Category-II).

Not Satisfactory: If neither Good nor Satisfactory in overall grading.

The Performance Appraisal Reports of the teaching staff are submitted to the Office of the CCE at the end of every academic year. The State Teacher Awards will be awarded based on the grades awarded to the teachers. These grades will be considered for Career Advancement Scheme later. Points will be allotted to the teaching staff during transfers, based on the given grades.

Non-teaching staff:

The principal has the authority to maintain confidential reports of Non- teaching staff of the institution and produce at the time of their promotions to whatever higher cadre, up to the Senior Assistant and also during counseling at the time of transfers.

Commissionerate of Collegiate Education, A.P., Mangalagiri ANNUAL SELF-APPRAISAL REPORT (ASAR) FOR THE DIRECTORS OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

(As per G.O. Ms. No. 14 Higher Education (UE) Department, Govt. A.P. Dated; 13-02-2019)

ASAR Score for the Academic Year	
Name of the College	
Name of the Lecturer with Emp-ID & CFMS-ID Nos.	
Subject	

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE DIRECTORS OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

CATEGORY-I: Attendance

S.No.	Activity	Total No. of Days	No. of Days Attended	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per Principal Evaluation
1	Attendance calculated in terms of percentage of days attended to the total number of days he is expected to attend.			(No. of Days Attended ÷ Total No. Days) X 100	(No. of Days Attended ÷ Total No. Days) X 100
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not s				

Grading Criteria: (a) **Good**: 90% & Above, (b) **Satisfactory**: Below 90% but 80% & Above and (c) **Not satisfactory**: Less than 80%

Note: The Principal should verify the Total No. of days as per the Academic Calendar and the No. of Days Attended as per the Biometrics Attendance in the College Web portal.

CATEGORY - II: Organizing Intra College Competition.

S.No.	Name of the Competition	Grading Criteria	Self Evaluation (Yes/No)	Principal Evaluation (Yes/No)
		 (a) <i>Good</i>: Intra college competition in more than 5 disciplines. (b) <i>Satisfactory</i>: Intra college competition in 3-5 disciplines. (c) <i>Not satisfactory</i>: Neither good nor satisfactory. 		
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisf	actory / Not satisfactory)		

CATEGORY - III: Institution Participating in External Competitions

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Status (National/ State/ District)	Self Evaluation (Yes/No)	Principal Evaluation (Yes/No)
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not sat			

Grading Criteria:

- (a) Good: National level competition in at least one discipline plus Sate/District level competition in at least 3 disciplines.
- **(b) Satisfactory**: State level competition in at least one discipline plus District level competition in at least 3 disciplines. **Or** District level competition in at least 5 disciplines.
- **(c) Non satisfactory**: Neither good nor satisfactory.

CATEGORY - IV: Up-gradation of Sports

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Grading Criteria	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per Principal Evaluation
1	(a) Up-gradation of sports and physical training infrastructure with scientific and technological inputs.	Good/ Satisfactory/ Not-Satisfactory to be assessed by the Principal		
	(b) Development and maintenance of playfields and sports and physical Education facilities.			

CATEGORY - V: Other Activities

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Self Evaluation (Yes / No)	Principal Evaluation (Yes / No)
1	At least one student of the institution participating in National/ State/ University/ (for		
	College levels only) teams. Organizing State/National/ Inter University/ Inter College		
	level competition		
2	Being invited for coaching at State/National level.		
3	Professional Development activities (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short		
	term training courses, camps & events, talks, lectures in refresher / faculty development		
	courses/OC/RC/ARPIT, membership of associations, dissemination and general articles		
	and any other contributions)		
4	Organizing at least three workshops in a year.		
5	Publications of at least one research paper in UGC approved journal.		
6	Assistance in College administration and governance related work including work done		
	during Admissions, Examinations and Extracurricular College Activities.		
	Total No. of Activities involved in (No. of Yes)		
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)		

Grading Criteria:

(a) *Good:* Involved in any 3 activities, (b) *Satisfactory*: Involved in any 2 activities, and (c) *Not-satisfactory*: Less than 2 activities.

Note: For every Activity/ Contribution necessary evidences should be attached

OVERALL GRADING:

S.No.	Category	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)			
	 	As per Self Evaluation	As per Principal Evaluation		
1	I - Attendance				
2	II - Organizing Intra College Competition.				
3	III - Institution Participating in External Competitions				
4	IV - Up-gradation of Sports				
5	V - Other Activities				
	Overall Grading Obtained				
	(Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)				

Overall Grading Criteria:

- (a) Good: Good in Category-I and Good / Satisfactory in other Categories.
- (b) Satisfactory: Satisfactory in Category-I and Good / Satisfactory in any other two Categories
- **(c)** *Not Satisfactory*: If neither Good nor Satisfactory in overall grading.

Note:

- i) It is recommended to use ICT technology to monitor the attendance of sports and physical education and compute the criteria of assessment.
- ii) The institution must obtain student feedback. The feed-backs must be shared with concerned Director of Physical and Education and Sports.
- iii)The system of tracking user grievances and the extent of grievance redressal details may also be made available.

Declaration	by	the	Cano	dida	ate
--------------------	----	-----	------	------	-----

I hereby declare that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge and I hold myself responsible for any mismatch if found.
Signature of the Physical Director
Certification by the Principal
I hereby certify that the substantiating evidence and information furnished by the incumbent and found it to be true.
Signature of the Principal

Commissionerate of Collegiate Education, A.P., Mangalagiri ANNUAL SELF-APPRAISAL REPORT (ASAR) FOR THE COLLEGE LIBRARIANS

(As per G.O. Ms. No. 14 Higher Education (UE) Department, Govt. A.P. Dated; 13-02-2019)

ASAR Score for the Academic Year	
Name of the College	
Name of the Lecturer with Emp-ID & CFMS-ID	
Nos.	
Subject	

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY FOR COLLEGE LIBRARIANS

CATEGORY-I: Regularity of Attending Library

S.No.	Activity	Total No. of Days	No. of Days Attended	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per Principal Evaluation
1	 While attending in the library, the individual is expected to undertake, inter alia, following items of work: Library Resource and Organization and maintenance of books, journals and reports. Provision of Library reader services such as literature retrieval services to researchers and analysis of report. Assistance towards updating institutional website 			(No. of Days Attended ÷ Total No. Days) X 100	(No. of Days Attended ÷ Total No. Days) X 100
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)				

Grading Criteria: (a) *Good*: 90% & Above, (b) *Satisfactory*: Below 90% but 80% & Above and (c) *Not satisfactory*: Less than 80%.

Note: The Principal should verify the Total No. of days as per the Academic Calendar and the No. of Days Attended as per the Biometrics Attendance in the College Web portal.

CATEGORY - II: Conduct of Seminars/ Workshops related to library activity or on specific books or genre of books.

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Nature of the Activity (Seminar / Workshop)	Level of Activity (National / State / Institution)	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per Principal Evaluation
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfa				

Grading Criteria:

- (a) **Good**: 1 National level Seminar/ Workshop + 1 State/Institution level Workshop/ Seminar
- (b) **Satisfactory**: 1 National level Seminar/ Workshop or 1 state level Seminar/ Workshop +1 Institution level Seminar/ Workshop or 4 Institution Seminar/ Workshop.
- (c) **Not satisfactory** : Not falling in above two categories

CATEGORY - III: Computerisation of Library

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Grading Criteria	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per Principal Evaluation
1	If library has a computerized database then	 (a) Good: 100% of physical books and journals in computerized database. (b) Satisfactory: At least 99% of physical books and journals in computerized database. (c) Not satisfactory: Not falling under good or satisfactory 		
2	If library does not have a computerized database	(a) Good: 100% Catalogue database made up to date(b) Satisfactory: 90% catalogue database made up to date(c) Not satisfactory: Catalogue database not up to mark.		

CATEGORY - IV: Checking inventory and extent missing books

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Grading Criteria	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per Principal Evaluation
1	Checking inventory and extent missing books	(a) Good : Checked inventory and missing book less than 0.5%		
	DOOKS	(b) Satisfactory : Checked inventory and		
		missing books less than 1% (c) Not satisfactory: Did not check		
		inventory/ Checked inventory and mission books 1% or more.		

CATEGORY - V: Other Activities

S.No.	Name of the Activity	Self Evaluation (Yes / No)	Principal Evaluation (Yes / No)
1	Digitisation of books database in institution having no computerized database.		
2	Promotion of library network.		
3	Systems in place for dissemination of information relating to books and other resources.		
4	Professional Development activities (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short		
	term, e- library training courses, OC, RC, ARPIT, workshops and events, talks, lectures,		
	membership of associations and dissemination)		
5	Assistance in college administration and governance related work including work		
	extracurricular activities.		
6	Design and offer short-term courses for users.		
7	Publications of at least one research paper in UGC approved journals.		
	Total No. of Activities involved in (No. of Yes)		
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)		

Grading Criteria:

(a) *Good:* Involved in any 3 activities, (b) *Satisfactory*: Involved in any 2 activities, and (c) *Not-satisfactory*: Less than 2 activities. **Note:** For every Activity/ Contribution necessary evidences should be attached

OVERALL GRADING:

S.No.	Category	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)		
		As per Self Evaluation	As per Principal Evaluation	
1	I - Regularity of Attending Library			
2	II - Conduct of Seminars/ Workshops			
3	III - Computerisation of Library			
4	IV - Checking inventory and extent missing books			
5	V - Other Activities			
	Overall Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)			

Overall Grading Criteria:

- (a) Good: Good in Category-I and Good / Satisfactory in any two other Categories including Category IV
- (b) Satisfactory: Satisfactory in Category-I and Good / Satisfactory in any two other Categories including Category IV
- **(c)** *Not Satisfactory*: If neither Good nor Satisfactory in overall grading.

Note: 1) It is recommended to use ICT technology to monitor the attendance of library staff and compute the criteria of assessment.

- 2) The Librarian must submit evidence of published paper, participation certificate for refresher or methodology course, successful research guidance from Head of Department of the concerned department, project completion.
- 3) The system of tracking user grievances and the extent of grievances redressal details may also be made available to the CAS promotion committee

.

Signature of the Librarian

Signature of the IQAC Coordinator

Signature of the Principal

Declaration b	y the	Candidate
---------------	-------	-----------

I hereby declare that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge and I hold myself responsible for any	mismatch if found.
	Signature of the Librarian
Certification by the Principal	
I hereby certify that the substantiating evidence and information furnished by the incumbent and found it to be true.	
	Signature of the Principal

Commissionerate of Collegiate Education, A.P., Mangalagiri ANNUAL SELF-APPRAISAL REPORT (ASAR) FOR THE COLLEGE TEACHERS

(As per G.O. Ms. No. 14 Higher Education (UE) Department, Govt. A.P. Dated; 13-02-2019)

ASAR Score for the Academic Year	
Name of the College	
Name of the Lecturer with Employee ID No.	
Subject	

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY FOR COLLEGE TEACHERS

CATEGORY-I: TEACHING (includes Lectures, Practicals, Tutorials and other teaching related Activities)

S.No.	Subject / Paper Taught	Method Adopted	Date / Semester	No. of Classes Assigned	No. of Classes Taught	Grading as per Self Evaluation	Grading as per the Principal Evaluation
						(Total No. of	(Total No. of
						Classes Taught	Classes Taught
						per Academic Year	per Academic Year
						÷ Total No. of	÷ Total No. of
						Classes Assigned	Classes Assigned
						per Academic	per Academic Year)
						Year)	X 100
						X 100	
	Total Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)						
	Grading Obtaine						

Grading Criteria: (a) *Good*: 80% & Above, (b) *Satisfactory*: Below 80% but 70% & Above and (c) *Not satisfactory*: Less than 70%

Note: The Principal should verify the No. of classes taught by Lecturers as per the Mobile App usage in the College Web portal (the Lecturers should also attach screen shot of mobile app as an evidence for No. of classes taught).

CATEGORY II: ACTIVITIES (Involvement in the College Students related Activities/Research Activities)

S.No.	Name of the Activity / Contribution (all activities/ contributions shall be considered in the present academic year only)	Name of the Activity Date /Period	Self Evaluation (Yes / No)	Principal Evaluation (Yes / No)
1	Administrative Responsibilities such as Dept. In-charge/ Chairperson/ Dean/ Director/			
	Co-ordinator/ Warden etc.			
2	Examination and Evaluation Duties assigned by the College or attending the Examination			
	Paper Evaluation.			
3	Professional Development; (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short term			
	training courses, industrial experience, talks, lectures in refresher / faculty development			
	courses/RC/OC/ARPIT, dissemination and general articles and any other contribution)			
4	Student related Co-curricular, Extension and Field based Activities such as Student			
	Clubs, Career Counselling, Study Visits, Student Seminars and other events, Cultural,			
	Sports, NCC, NSS and Community Services.			
5	Organising Seminars/ Conferences/ Workshops/ other College Activities.			
6	Evidence of actively involved in guiding Ph.D. students.			
7	Conducting Minor or Major Research Project sponsored by National or International			
	Agencies.			
8	At least one single or joint Publication in peer- reviewed or UGC list of Journals.			
	Total No. of Activities / Contributions involved	in (No. of Yes)		
	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / No	ot satisfactory)		

Grading Criteria:

(a) *Good:* Involved in at least 3 Activities

(b) *Satisfactory*: 1-2 Activities

(c) *Not-satisfactory*: Not involved/undertaken any Activity

Note: For every Activity/ Contribution necessary evidences should be attached

OVERALL GRADING:

S.No.	Category	Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)				
	g ţ	As per Self Evaluation	As per the Principal Evaluation			
1	I - Teaching					
2	II - Activities					
Overall Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)						

Overall Grading Criteria:

- (a) Good: Good in Teaching (Category-I) and Good or Satisfactory in Activity (Category-II)
- **(b)** *Satisfactory*: Satisfactory in Teaching (Category-I) and Good or Satisfactory in Activity (Category-II).
- (c) *Not Satisfactory*: If neither Good nor Satisfactory in overall grading.

Note: For the purpose of assessing the grading of Activity at Category - I and Category - II, all such periods of duration which have been spent by the teacher on different kinds of paid leaves such as Maternity Leave, Child Care Leave, Study Leave, Medical Leave, Extraordinary Leave and Deputation shall be excluded from the grading assessment. The teacher shall be assessed for the remaining period of duration and the same shall be extrapolated for the entire period of assessment to arrive at the grading of the teacher. The teacher on such leaves or deputation as mentioned above shall not be put to any disadvantage for calculation of grade due to his/her absence from his/her teaching responsibilities subject to the condition that such leave/deputation was undertaken with the prior approval of the competent authority following all procedures laid down as per the Acts, Statutes and Ordinances of the parent institution.

CATEGORY-III: ACADEMIC / RESEARCH ACTIVITIES:

Methodology for College Teachers for calculating Academic / Research Score: Assessment must be based on evidence produced by the teacher such as: copy of publications, project sanction letter, utilization and completion certificates and acknowledgements for patent filing and approval letters, students' Ph.D. award letter, etc,.

A. Research Papers in Peer Reviewed /UGC listed Journals (Faculty of Sciences -08/ Faculty of Other Disciplines – 10 per publication): The Score for joint publications shall be calculated in the following manner: (a) Two authors: 70% of total value of publication for each author. (b) More than two authors: 70% of total value of publication for the First/Principal/Corresponding author and 30% of total value of publication for each of the joint authors. Paper presented as part of edited book or proceeding then it can be claimed only once.

The	The Research score for research papers would be augmented in Peer Reviewed /Referred /UGC listed journals:								
Impact Factor (IF)	Without IF	Less than 1	Between 1 and 2	Between 2 and 5	Between 5 and 10	Above 10			
Score	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points	20 Points	25 Points	30 Points			

S.No.	Title with Page No.	Name of the Journal (Scopus/ ICI/ Web of Science)	ISSN/ ISBN No.	UGC List No.	Month / Period	Impact Factor	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total							

B. Publications (Other Than Research Papers)

	(a) Book	(b) Translation wor Foreign Languages by				
12 per	10 per	05 per	10 per	08 per	03 per	00 204
International	National	Chapter in	Editor of Book by	Editor of Book by	Chapter or	08 per
Publishers	Publishers	Edited Book	International Publisher	National Publisher	Research paper	Book

S.No.	Title of the Book	Name of the Publisher	ISSN/ISBN No.	International/ National	Date / Period	Single Author/ Chapter	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total							

C. Creation of ICT mediated Teaching Learning Pedagogy and content development of new and innovative courses and curricula

Particulars						
a. Developme	a. Development of Innovative pedagogy (Pedagogical strategies (P1 to Px) adopted in the class room)					
b. Design of n	b. Design of new curricula and courses (per Curricula / Course)					
c. MOOCs	Development of complete MOOCs in 4 quadrants (4 credit course)(In case of MOOCs of lesser credits 05 marks/ credit)	20				
	MOOCs (developed in 4 quadrant) per module/lecture	05				
	Content writer/subject matter expert for each module of MOOCs (at least one quadrant)	02				
	Course Coordinator for MOOCs (4 credit course)(In case of MOOCs of lesser credits 02 marks/credit)	08				
d. e-Content	Development of e-Content in 4 quadrants for a complete course/e-book	12				
(LMS-	e-Content (developed in 4 quadrants) per module	05				
LSCs/SDCs)	Contribution to development of e-content module in complete course/paper/e-book (at least one quadrant)	02				
	Editor of e-content for complete course/ paper /e-book	10				

S.No.	Subject / Paper	e-learning Module	Date / Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Tota				

D. Research Guidance/ Projects

i. Research Guidance:

i. M.Phil. Degree awarded
ii. Ph.D. Thesis submitted
iii. Ph.D. Degree awarded
iii. Ph.D. Degree awarded
iii. Ph.D. Degree awarded
iii. Ph.D. Degree awarded

S.No.	Research Guidance	No. of Candidates (Awarded/ Submitted)	Date / Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
1	M.Phil. (Awarded)				
2	Ph.D. (Submitted)				
3	Ph.D. (Awarded)				

Note: For Joint Supervision of research students, the formula shall be 70% of the total score for Supervisor and Co- supervisor, both shall get 70% each.

ii. Research Projects Completed:

Faculty of any Discipline	Score
Grant Mobilised more than Rs. 10 lakhs	10 per Project
Grant Mobilised less than Rs. 10 lakhs	05 per Project

S.No.	Title	Funding Agency	Grant Mobilised in Rs.	Date and Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total					

iii. Research Projects Ongoing:

Faculty of any Discipline	Score
Grant Mobilised more than Rs. 10 lakhs	05 per Project
Grant Mobilised less than Rs. 10 lakhs	02 per Project

S.No.	Title	Funding Agency	Grant Mobilised in Rs.	Date and Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
		Total				

iv. **Consultancy Projects**: 03 per each Project:

S.No.	Category (Completed / Ongoing)	Title	Funding Agency	Grant Mobilised in Rs.	Date and Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total						

Note: Joint Projects: Principal Investigator and Co-investigator would get 50% each.

E. Patents, Policy Documents and Awards/Fellowship:

i. Patents:

Faculty of any Discipline	Score
International	10 per Patent
National	07 per Patent

S.No.	Title	Agency	Status (International / National)	Date and Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total					

***Policy Documents:** Submitted to an International Body/Organisation like UNO/UNESCO/World Bank/International Monetary Fund etc. or Central Government or State Government.

Faculty of any Discipline	Score
International	10 per Document
National	07 per Document
State	04 per Document

S.No.	Title	Agency	Status (International / National/State)	Date and Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total					

iii. Fellowship/ Awards:

Faculty of any Discipline	Score
International	07 per Award/ Fellowship
National	05 per Award/ Fellowship

S.No.	Title	Agency	Status (International / National)	Date and Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
	Total					

F. *Invited Lectures Delivered in Conferences / Seminars:

Invited lectures / Resource Person/ paper presentation in Seminars/ Conferences/ full paper in Conference Proceedings (Paper presented in Seminars/ Conferences and also published as full paper in Conference Proceedings will be counted only once)

Faculty of any Discipline	Score
International (Abroad)	07
International (within country)	05
National	03
State / University	02

S.No.	Title of the Paper	Organised By	International/ National/ State/ University level	Date / Period	Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Score as per the Principal Evaluation
Total						

Note: 1. *For the purpose of calculating research score of the teacher, the combined research score from the categories of E.ii. Policy Document and F. Invited Lectures/ Resource Person/ Paper presentation shall have an upper capping of thirty per cent of the total Research Score of the teacher concerned.

- 2. The research score shall be from the minimum of three categories out of six categories.
- 3. The self assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records.

List of Enclosures:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

ABSTRACT OF GRADE / SCORE

Criteria of Evaluation	Grade /Score Obtained (Self Evaluation)	Grade /Score given by the Principal	Remarks
Category – I : Teaching (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)			
Category – II : Activities (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)			
Overall Grading Obtained (Good / Satisfactory / Not satisfactory)			
Category – III : Academic/Research Activities			
A. Research Papers Published in Journals			
B. Publications other than Journals (Books, chapters in Books)			
C. Creation of ICT			
D. i. Research Guidance			
D. ii. Research Projects Completed			
D. iii. Research Projects Ongoing			
D. iv. Consultancy			
E. i. Patents			
E. ii. Policy Document			
E. iii. Awards/Fellowship			
F. Invited Lectures in Conferences / Seminars			
Total (Category – III)			

Signature of the Lecturer

Signature of the Dept. I/C

Signature of the IQAC Coordinator

Signature of the Principal

Daala	untina	h +	h~ 1	~~~	: 4	-+-
Decia	ration	υyι	ne c	Janu.	lu	ate

I hereby declare that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge and I hold myself responsible for any n	nismatch if found.
	Signature of the Lecturer
Certification by the Principal	
I hereby certify that the substantiating evidence and information furnished by the incumbent and found it to be true.	
	Signature of the Principal